INTRODUCTION

Retroperitoneal cystic lesions are uncommon. The incidence of these isolated lesions are ranged between 1/5,750 to 1/250,000. There are abdominal complaints related to size among two-thirds of lesions; whereas, others are asymptomatic, and are detected as an incidental finding. The retroperitoneal cystic lesions may be neoplastic or non-neoplastic. Non-neoplastic lesions are mesenteric, omental cysts, splenic and enteric duplication cysts. Neoplastic lesions are epithelial (serous/mucinous cystadenoma-adenocarcinoma), germ cell tumor, other tumors containing cystic areas (paraganglioma, nörilemmma, sarcoma). Epidermoid cyst, lyphangioma, mullerian cyst, epidermoid cyst, tailgut cyst, broncogenic cyst, pseudomomyxoma retroperitonei, and perianal mucinous cyst adenoma are rarely seen tumors.

Retroperitoneal serous cystadenocarcinoma is an extremely uncommon lesion of retroperitoneum. Therefore, we present a case of a 40-year woman with MRI findings of the large retroperitoneal cystic lesion.

CASE REPORT

A 40-year married woman, presented with severe abdominal pain, was examined at our hospital. She had myomectomy 6 years ago, but had no trauma or acute pancreatitis. The results of patient's laboratory tests including white blood cell count, CA-19-9, and CEA levels were normal. CA-125 antigen was mild high, 49.9 IU (normal range, 0 to 30,2 IU). Abdominal contrast enhanced computed tomography revealed a 13x18 cm large retroperitoneal and cystic mass with polypoid projections. MRI was superior than CT in showing polypoid projections. Contrast enhanced MRI detected enhancement of thin septations and cyst appearance inner the cyst. Diffusion-weighted MRI showed restricted diffusion in the polypoid component with contrast enhancement. Therefore, we thought malignant lesion. The findings of contrast-enhanced CT and MRI were helpful in the diagnosis of those lesions. In addition, diffusion-weighted MRI with multiparametric modalities played unlimited role in the assessment of the differential diagnosis.
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MRI findings of borderline retroperitoneal serous cystadenocarcinoma

MRI was superior than CT in the showing polypoid projections. Contrast enhanced MRI detected enhancement of thin septations and cyst appearance inner the cyst (Figures 1a, 1b). Diffusion-weighted MRI showed restricted diffusion in the polypoid component with contrast enhancement. Therefore, we thought malignant lesion (Figures 1c, 1d). After laparoscopic surgery, the histopathological diagnosis of lesion was detected as borderline serous cystadenocarcinoma.

**DISCUSSION**

Approximately 70% of the primary retroperitoneal tumors in adults are usually malignant and prevalence of these tumors are less than 1%.

These tumors may be neoplastic or non-neoplastic. The neoplastic lesions at the retroperitoneal location are cystadenomas, mesotheliomas, and cystic degeneration with solid lesions. Whereas, non-neoplastic lesions are urinomas, haematomas, lymphoceles, pseudocysts.

They usually have big size at the time of diagnosis, because of the compliance of the growing space is large. CT, MRI and US are important tools in the diagnosis and following of the retroperitoneal cystic lesions. Ultrasound may detect this lesion, but it is insufficient in the showing of its extention and relationship with surrounding organs. The local recurrence of the lesions are higher than that of the metastases.

MRI has superior contrast resolution, therefore, MRI findings are more successful than the CT images. When these cystic lesions are large, it can be difficult to identify of origin of lesions with imaging methods. The CT and MRI findings as beak sign, embedded organ sign, phantom organ sign, predominant feeding artery sign give us important clues. Especially, MRI plays an important role in the evaluation of the fat, water, calcification contents and vascularity in the lesion. Appropriate MRI sequences and new generation machines are helpful in the showing of the lesions. Diffusion-weighted MRI shows restricted diffusion. This finding and abnormal contrast enhancement on MRI points out possibility of malignancy.

Serous cystadenocarcinomas are rarely seen. There are three theories in the development of these lesions: the first is serous or mucinous metaplasia of retroperitoneal coelomic mesothelium related routes of ovarian descent. Other theories about development of serous cystadenocarcinomas are an enterogenous cyst, a teratoma and a supernumerary ovary.

The CT and MRI findings of these lesions are usually non-specific. The solid, intramural nodule, enhancement of septation and nodule, and high CEA level are important; and these findings are helpful in the diagnosis of the retroperitoneal cystic lesions.

Different from the literature knowledge, there was no high CEA level, as only CA-125 antigen level was high in our case. The findings of our case were enhancement of the solid component and papillary projections into the lumen. In addition, restricted diffusion as distinct from literature was detected.

In conclusion, serous cystadenoma and serous cystadenocarcinoma are rare tumours. But, contrast-enhanced CT and MRI are helpful in the diagnosis of these lesions. In addition, diffusion-weighted MRI with multiparametric modalities has unlimited role in the assesment of the differential diagnosis.
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